# AP® ENGLISH LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION 2016 SCORING GUIDELINES

### Question 2: Thomas Hardy, The Mayor of Casterbridge

The score should reflect the quality of the essay as a whole — its content, style, and mechanics. **Reward the students for what they do well.** The score for an exceptionally well-written essay may be raised by 1 point above the otherwise appropriate score. In no case may a poorly written essay be scored higher than a 3.

- **9–8** These essays offer a persuasive analysis of Hardy's portrayal of the complex relationship between the two characters, Michael Henchard and his daughter, Elizabeth-Jane. The students make a strong case for their interpretation of the complex relationship between the two characters. They may consider elements such as tone, word choice, and detail, and they engage the text through apt and specific references. Although these essays may not be error-free, their perceptive analysis is apparent in writing that is clear and effectively organized. Essays scored a 9 reveal more sophisticated analysis and more effective control of language than do essays scored an 8.
- **7–6** These essays offer a reasonable analysis of Hardy's portrayal of the complex relationship between the two characters. The students provide a sustained, competent reading of the passage, with attention to elements such as tone, word choice, and detail. Although these essays may not be error-free and are less perceptive or less convincing than 9–8 essays, the students present their ideas with clarity and control and refer to the text for support. Essays scored a 7 present better-developed analysis and more consistent command of the elements of effective composition than do essays scored a 6.
- These essays respond to the assigned task with a plausible reading of the passage, but tend to be superficial or thin in their discussion of Hardy's portrayal of the complex relationship between the two characters. While containing some analysis of the passage, implicit or explicit, the discussion of how elements such as tone, word choice, and detail contribute to the portrayal of the complex relationship may be slight, and support from the passage may tend toward summary or paraphrase. While these writers demonstrate adequate control of language, their essays may be marred by surface errors. These essays are not as well conceived, organized, or developed as 7–6 essays.
- **4–3** These lower-half essays fail to offer an adequate analysis of the passage. The analysis may be partial, unconvincing, or irrelevant; the students may ignore the portrayal of the complex relationship between the characters or the use of elements to develop the relationship. These essays may be characterized by an unfocused or repetitive presentation of ideas, an absence of textual support, or an accumulation of errors. Essays scored a 3 may contain significant misreading and/or inept writing.
- **2–1** These essays compound the weaknesses of the papers in the 4–3 range. They may persistently misread the passage or be unacceptably brief. They may contain pervasive errors that interfere with understanding. Although some attempt has been made to respond to the prompt, the student's ideas are presented with little clarity, organization, or support from the passage. Essays scored a 1 contain little coherent discussion of the passage.
- **0** These essays give a response that is completely off topic or inadequate; there may be some mark or a drawing or a brief reference to the task.
- These essays are entirely blank.

,				

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam. Question 2

(10f4)

Hardy's com admonishes his daughter for various tendencies. Henchard his dayahter & that to appease her tather, Occasion

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam.

(2044)

praise Share Regrimano and, eager to please, determines patterns of speech Includes on extensive list of termines to rent remove from to which enough handwriting. As a relatively upperclass, Characters Henchard's expectations harsh, they do. Seem

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam. 2 (3.44)

Henchard's preoccupation Completely uncaring place. or example desire for her to de entrance Into his Henchard's potentially innocent intentions Elizabeth her nothing Considerate disposition; personality which windoubted though her efforts refined womanhood neither experiences personal any additional the passage of conclud Stating her appearance and estrange Elizabeth's attempts to please her fathe actual rdationship CEPPTOVA trom

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam.

futile	2 00	e. Fur	them	ore, th	e Choi	د ه	f the	wor	d
"estro	nge	to.	descr	ibe he	ach	೧೨	Meach	00	her
relation	ashi	o to be	, fath	er te	egrap	ehs co	Sad	but	Key
messag	e a	bout #	nir re	lationsh	10 1	hat-	Hough	h t	hey
may	live	10 the	Same	house veir best o zabeth	and	rec	ognize	bare have	ih_
other	as	family	, A Eli	zabuth	and	Her	chard	Area	naine
aute	est	ranged				-			#
				0			- 1		<u> </u>
			4, 8 S	0			, r <u>4</u>		, <sup>1</sup>
	Sair	(Contractor)	and the state of the state of	· - 3·		i, e	5.77		
			: ,	4 N N		۵ *	3		
<u>.</u>		(A) P (17)			Mr. of	<u> </u>	1 14 F	91	
	, š		101						
				• 1					
				1.0					
				<u> </u>					
					3 4 5	1			. 4.
Ye				· · · · ·					
				· ·					
							+		
		181		<i>i</i> .					
			1				, ,		

## AP® ENGLISH LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION 2016 SCORING COMMENTARY

### Question 2

#### Overview

Students were asked to read carefully a passage from Thomas Hardy's 19th-century novel *The Mayor of Casterbridge* and then write an essay in which they used literary elements such as tone, word choice, and selection of detail to analyze Hardy's portrayal of the complex relationship between the two characters, the Mayor and his daughter. Students were thus directed to consider complexity in a relationship that is foregrounded in a complex text. The rich passage provided students with ample material to address the prompt from any number of points of departure. Given the passage's complexity, and the added complexity that comes along with engaging with fiction written in 19th-century English prose, students were offered several ways to consider how the author employed literary elements to portray the relationship between Michael Henchard and Elizabeth-Jane.

Sample: 2A Score: 9

This sophisticated, well-evidenced essay precisely analyzes the intricate relationship between the characters. For example, it perceptively observes that "Henchard, somewhat hypocritically (as he is 'uncultivated himself') sharply and repeatedly admonishes his daughter for various manifestations of her lower-class tendencies." It clearly shows why the relationship is complex, observing, for example, that the word "enigma" signals the characters' mutual lack of understanding. The essay also distinguishes between physical and emotional estrangement, subsequently using this insight to describe the relationship as "unhealthy" because Elizabeth-Jane is "eager to please" even though Henchard is "constantly on the lookout for Elizabeth's 'grevious [sic] failing[s].'" The essay recognizes how the characters' prior experiences feed into the present relationship: as "a relatively new member of the upper class," Henchard's "preoccupation with Elizabeth's handwriting ... stems from his desire for her to assume the very position of 'refined womanhood." It also acknowledges the paradox at the heart of the relationship: the impact that "Elizabeth's attempts to please her father have on her actual relationship to him emphasizes the hopelessness of her endeavor," as "she neither experiences personal contentment nor any additional closeness to [him]." Although this essay is not entirely without error, its accurate close reading, cogent writing, and well-defined argument resulted in the thorough, measured, and analytical response one expects in an essay at the top of the scoring range.

San ple: 2B

This reasonable up, er-half essay begins rather generally by observing that "people gravitate towards others that are more like them," but it uses this opening as a way of introducing the irony in the relationship between Henchard and Elizabeth-Jane: as father and daughter try "to become [sic] closer to each other's expectations" they grow more estranged. When the essay refers to the interactions between the characters, in particular the way in which Henchard changes "the way Elizabeth speaks through his disapproval," it offers mostly paraphrase. But it becomes more analytical when it summers the Minerva allusion to show how Elizabeth's initial confidence in her writing "is quicky shot down by "ner father, reflecting Henchard's general disapproval. This detail from the passage is analyted along with others to underscore the earlier point about irony: "Michael's attempts to 'fix' Elizabeth and Elizabeth's efforts to meet expectations seems that [sic] it should bring Michael to like Elizabeth more and for Elizabeth as reciprocate his positive emotions, yet the exact opposite happens." While the essay sustains and evidences its assument about irony, its insights are not as sophisticated or developed as mose of essays at the top of the scoring range. The essay contains some surface errors (e.g., references to Henchard as "Nathan") and awkward phrasing (e.g., "Michael")

